

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,575	12/29/2003	Hyung Ki Hong	12581/4134	8928	
	7590 04/20/2007	EXAMINER			
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione Post Office Box 10395			NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
Chicago, IL 60610		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/750,575	HONG, HYUNG KI					
		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
			(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ition appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DA 37 CFR 1.136 ication. ory period wi I, by statute, 6	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>01 Fe</i> .	bruary 2007.					
· •								
3)	· ·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10 and 12-14</u> is/are per	nding in th	ne application.	·				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-9 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4,6,10,12 and 13 is/are object	ted to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner		•				
-	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action f	or a list o	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
		•						
Attachmen	t(s)		,					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	9-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Umemoto et al (US 2003/0043315).

Umemoto et al discloses (fig. 1 and par. 0037) a liquid crystal display (LCD) module comprising:

#### Claim 1:

- a light source (5) to generate light
- a light guide panel (10) through which the light from the light source propagates,
   the light guide panel having a first refractive index, [substrate (10) acting as light guide panel, see par. 0037, -- emphasis added]
- a low refractive index layer (14) disposed on the light guide panel, the low refractive index layer having a second refractive index which is lower than the first refractive index
- a transmissive LCD panel disposed on the upper portion of the low refractive index layer, wherein the transmissive liquid crystal display panel includes an upper substrate (20) facing the low refractive index layer with liquid crystal (30)

therebetween, and no substrate is disposed between the liquid crystal and the liquid panel

### Claim 14:

• a reflective plate (6) disposed below the light guide panel

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umemoto et al in view of Yamamoto et al (US 5,341,231).

Regarding claim 7, Umemoto et al lacks disclosure of a condenser disposed between the light source and the light guide panel.

Yamamoto discloses a condenser (fig. 6, ref. 62a, 62b) disposed between the light source and the light guide panel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a condenser disposed between the light source and the light guide panel in Umemoto et al since one would be motivated to limit the incident angle of the incident light from the light source lamps (col. 9, lines 21-22, 26-30, 52-53) so that the module is capable of presenting a bright display (col. 3, lines 50-52; col. 4, lines 10-58).

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Umemoto et al lacks disclosure of light in the light guide panel that is totally reflective at a border between the light guide panel and the low refractive index layer when the light in the light guide panel impinges on the border at an angle of 90° - sin<sup>-1</sup> (1/first refractive index) > sin<sup>-1</sup> (the second refractive index/the first refractive index).

Yamamoto discloses and LCD wherein the light in the light guide panel that is totally reflective at a border between the light guide panel and the low refractive index layer when the light in the light guide panel impinges on the border at an angle of 90° - sin<sup>-1</sup> (1/first refractive index) > sin<sup>-1</sup> (the second refractive index/the first refractive index) (col. 5, line 26; col. 9, line 50; col. 10, line 63; col. 11, lines 55 – col. 12, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have light in the light guide panel that is totally reflective at a border between the light guide panel and the low refractive index layer when the light in the light guide panel impinges on the border at an angle of 90° - sin<sup>-1</sup> (1/first refractive index) > sin<sup>-1</sup> (the second refractive index/the first refractive index) since one would be motivated to ultimately provide a display that is capable of presenting a bright display (col. 3, lines 50-52; col. 4, lines 10-58).

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umemoto et al in view of Gotoh et al (US 2002/0154256).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Umemoto et al lacks disclosure of the first refractive index is 1.7 or 1.5 and the second refractive index is 1.35.

Gotoh discloses an LCD lighting apparatus (title) having a low refractive index layer (fig. 13, ref. 89) with an index of 1.5, [par. 0151], and the light guide plate (73) having an index of 1.5, [par. 151].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first refractive index of 1.5 or 1.7 and the second refractive index of 1.35 since one would be motivated not only to facilitate total internal reflection by decreasing the critical angle values, but also optimize display contrast by reducing the reflection factor at the boundaries, [pars. 0152-0156].

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6, 10, 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Reason for allowance:

A liquid crystal display module comprising a relationship of various elements as claimed with the specific allowable subject matter cited in the following claims:

### Claims 4, 6, 10 and 12:

- optical sheets disposed on the low refractive index layer
- a polarizer disposed on the optical sheets to polarize light from the optical sheets

  Claim 13:
  - the low refractive index layer disposed on a first surface of the light guide panel

Art Unit: 2871

a second surface of the light guide panel that opposes the first surface has a
plurality of grooves to reflect light impinging on the grooves towards the
transmissive liquid crystal display panel

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 2/1/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Umemoto et al (US 2003/0043315).

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2871

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit;2871

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800